



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,755	06/04/2001	Maurice Clarence Kemp	MORN-0010 (108347.00021)	2361

25555 7590 03/12/2003

JACKSON WALKER LLP
2435 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY
SUITE 600
RICHARDSON, TX 75080

EXAMINER

CHOI, FRANK I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1616

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/873,755

Applicant(s)

KEMP ET AL.

Examiner

Frank I Choi

Art Unit

1616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-96 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-59,65-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the disclosed processes using sulfuric acid and calcium hydroxide, calcium carbonate and/or calcium sulfate to prepare "AGIIS" and, does not reasonably provide enablement for "AGIIS" where the above components and processes are not set forth. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant claims a combination of phosphate salts and an acidic sparingly soluble Group IIA complex. Applicant further indicates that normally mineral acids of low pH, such as HCl, are irritating to the skin. Applicant further indicates that the AGIIS of the invention having the same normality as saturated calcium sulfate in sulfuric acid but does not char sucrose as readily, has low volatility at room temperature and pressure, and is less corrosive to human skin. Nevertheless, an embodiment of the AGIIS contains saturated calcium and sulfate. As such, it

Art Unit: 1616

appears that the comparison product contains the same components but acts differently.

However, relatively few examples of components are disclosed by the Specification and relatively few methods of preparing the AGIIS using a few of said components are disclosed. As such, it appears that the process by which the AGIIS is made is critical obtaining the results as indicated above. Further, since relatively few components are disclosed, it appears that one of ordinary skill in the art would be required to do undue experimentation in order to determine which components other than the components disclosed could be used in combination with each other or with the disclosed components and processes of preparation to arrive the AGIIS solution have said properties.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 60-62,64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schwank (US Pat. 5,087,467).

Schwank expressly discloses produce which has been immersed in an aqueous solution having a pH of less than 2, containing salts of phosphoric acid and sulfuric acid falling within the scope of applicant's claims (Claims 1,2,4,10).

Alternatively, at the very least the claimed invention is rendered obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103, because the prior art discloses products and uses that contain the same exact ingredients/components as that of the claimed invention. See *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See also *In re May*, 197 USPQ 601, 607 (CCPA 1978).

Claims 60-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwank (US Pat. 5,087,467) in view of Roden et al. (US Pat. 6,375,976).

Schwank discloses produce which has been immersed in an aqueous solution having a pH of less than 2, containing salts of phosphoric acid and inorganic acids, such as, hydrochloric, phosphoric and sulfuric acid (Claims 1,2,4,10).

Roden et al. discloses that the combination of organic GRAS acids, having a dissociation constant of from about 10^{-1} to 10^{-5} , having a chelating capability of a least twice of the first and second GRAS acids, such as ascorbic, citric, lactic, malic and tartaric, with said first GRAS acid which is an inorganic acid, such as hydrochloric acid, which dissociates nearly to completion in water and with said second GRAS acid which is an inorganic acid less strong than the first GRAS acid and having a dissociation constant of less than about 10^{-1} , such as phosphoric acid, wherein the composition has antimicrobial activity (See entire reference, especially claims).

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does not expressly disclose a prepared nutriment comprising nutriment material and a solution or suspension having a pH of less than about 2, mineral acid and salt of phosphoric acid, and one or more of lactic, acetic, propionic, oxalic, peracetic, sorbic, benzoic, butyric, glycolic, formic and monoperphthalic. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as solutions for application to food substances containing acids and salts of phosphoric acid are known in the art. As such, it

Art Unit: 1616

would have been well within the skill of and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the prior art as above with the expectation of producing a composition which is effecting in both disinfecting and reducing discoloration of food products.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Claims 1,2,4-15,17-38,40-79,81-96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwank (US Pat. 5,087,467) in view of Roden et al. (US Pat. 6,375,976) and Wurzbürger et al. (US Pat. 6,331,514).

Schwank discloses produce which has been immersed in an aqueous solution having a pH of less than 2, containing salts of phosphoric acid and inorganic acids, such as, hydrochloric, phosphoric and sulfuric acid (Claims 1,2,4,10).

Roden et al. disclose that the combination of organic GRAS acids, having a dissociation constant of from about 10^{-1} to 10^{-5} , having a chelating capability of a least twice of the first and second GRAS acids, such as ascorbic, citric, lactic, malic and tartaric, with said first GRAS acid which is an inorganic acid, such as hydrochloric acid, which dissociates nearly to completion in water and with said second GRAS acid which is an inorganic acid less strong than the first GRAS acid and having a dissociation constant of less than about 10^{-1} , such as phosphoric acid, wherein the composition has antimicrobial activity (See entire reference, especially claims).

Wurzbürger et al. disclose the preparation of a disinfecting solution by combining a metal hydroxide or metal oxide, such as calcium, with an acid, such as sulfuric acid, and filtering out

Art Unit: 1616

any precipitants, which resultant composition is effective in disinfecting meat, vegetables and fruit (See entire document).

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does not expressly disclose compositions, nutriments and methods of reducing biological contaminants with combination of AGIIS and salt of phosphoric acid having a pH of less than 2. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as solutions for application to food substances containing acids and salts of phosphoric acid are known in the art. As such, it would have been well within the skill of and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the prior art as above with the expectation of producing a composition which is effecting in both disinfecting and reducing discoloration of food products.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Conclusion


A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (703) 308-0067. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. José Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (703) 308-1235 and (703) 308-0198, respectively.

FIC

March 1, 2003


JOHN PAK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1000

